

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, 2D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/297,648	03/10/2000	LEWIS T. WILLIAMS	2300-1481CIP	1096
27476 759	90 05/31/2002			
Chiron Corporation Intellectual Property - R440 P.O. Box 8097			EXAMINER	
			BRUSCA, JOHN S	
Emeryville, CA 94662-8097				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1631	A -1
			DATE MAILED: 05/31/2002	27

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>5</b>			
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	_
Advisory Action	09/297,648	STACHE-CRAIN ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	John S. Brusca	1631	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	_
THE REPLY FILED 23 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply to a places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amounth the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	
<ul> <li>1.  A Notice of Appeal was filed on 23 April 2002. Appear 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2.  The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li> </ul>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of		
<u> </u>		oo NOTE below)	
<ul><li>(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further</li><li>(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be)</li></ul>	•	see NOTE below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	•	rially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s): See Continuation Sheet.		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: 22,24-31,33-39,49,51-58,60-67,69-	-76,78-84,103,105-113,115-118,12	<u>1-123,125-128 and 131-145</u> .	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1631



Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the rejection of claims 40, 42-48, 58, 60-66, 85, 87-94, 96-102, 114, 116, 119, 120, 124, 126, 129, and 130 under 35 U.S.C. 101 and 112, first paragraph for lack of utility and enablement. In addition the insertion of pages to the specification would be entered as it rectifies previously noted discrepancies in the specification.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragrap is maintained because a full open reading frame is not described that is related to the claimed invention and therefore the claims (including newly filed claims 132-145) read on undescribed full open reading frames and their encoded polypeptides due to the presence of open language (consisting of) in all claims. Also the rejections under 102(a), 102(b), and 103(a) would be maintained and extended to newly filed claims 132-145 as for the claim from which they immediately depend from in view of the presence of "degenerate variant" which is broadly interpreted to mean any variant. The applicants state that the phrase is equivalent to a conservative variant encoding an identical polypeptide, but provide no support for their statement in the specification or prior art..